

<p>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p> <p>All Participants:</p> <p>(1) <u>Ashwin Mehta</u>.</p> <p>(2) <u>Rudeina Baasiri</u>.</p> <p>Date of Interview: <u>1 September 2009</u></p> <p>Type of Interview:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)</p> <p>Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: .</p> <p>Part I.</p> <p>Rejection(s) discussed: None</p> <p>Claims discussed: 8</p> <p>Prior art documents discussed: None</p> <p>Part II.</p> <p>SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Claim 8 depends from 2 previous claims which are not referred to in the alternative. An Examiner's amendment was proposed to deleted reference to one of those claims, and approved by Applicant.</i></p> <p>Part III.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</p> <p>/Ashwin Mehta/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1638</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)</p>	<p>Application No. 10/521,288</p> <p>Examiner Ashwin Mehta</p> <p>Applicant(s) FLASINSKI, STANISLAW</p> <p>Art Unit 1638</p>
--	--